

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. D. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/052,226	01/17/2002	Michio Takahashi	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
			791_107 CIP	3497
	590 11/30/2004			
BURR & BROWN PO BOX 7068 SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068			EXAMINER	
			WILLS, MONIQUE M	
			ART UNIT	
				PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/052,226	TAKAHASHI, MICHIO			
	Simos Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ti. MAII WA	Monique M Wills				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
	Status					
	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2004. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 					
	•					
	4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) [2) [3) [S. Pa	Achment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed September 16, 2004. The rejection of claims 1-5 were 35 U.S.C. 102(e) over Hemmer, U.S. Patent No. 6,080,510 is overcome. However, claims 1 & 3-6 are newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Nemoto et al. U.S. Patent 3,368,750.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 & 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Specifically, the disclosure does not provide support for:

$\underline{Li_{(1+y)}(M_{1(x1)}M_{2(x2)}M_{3(x3)}...M_{m(xm)})_x\ Mn_{2\cdot x\cdot y}O_{4,\cdot}}$

where the stoichiometric value of lithium is "(1 + y)" and the stoichiometric value of manganese is "(2-x-y)". The specification only provides support for "Li_x" and "Mn_{2-x}". Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1746

Allowable Subject Matter

The instant claims would be allowable over the prior art of record, because the prior art is silent to a lithium manganese oxide material of the compound

$\underline{Li_{(1+y)}(M_{1(x1)}M_{2(x2)}M_{3(x3)}...M_{m(xm)})_x\ Mn_{2\cdot x\cdot y}Q_4}.$

wherein M_1 is Ti, x > 0, $X_1 > 0$, at least one of X_2 , X_3 ... and X_m is greater than 0, the sum of X_1 , X_2 , X_3 ... and X_m is 1 and $y \ge 0$. Therefore, the instant claims would be allowable if the new matter rejection is overcome.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-5, filed September 16, 2004, with respect to Hemmer anticipating claims 1-5 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1746

Page 4

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is (571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, may be reached at 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MW

11/28/04

MICHAEL BARR SLIPFRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5